

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,516 07/08/2003		07/08/2003	Christophe Bureau	239939US0CONT	1358	
22850	7590	03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SI		MCCLELLAND,	LUNDGREN, JEFFREY S			
ALEXANDI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1639			

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/614,516 BUREAU E		ΓAL.				
			ner	Art Unit					
			S. Lundgren	1639					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	address				
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
·	•	2b) ☐ This action i	s non-final.						
3) 🗌	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.						
Application	on Papers								
9) 🔲 <sup>-</sup>	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(	s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form I	PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority								
	2. Certified copies of the priority								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		n received in this Nation	ai Stage				
* 0	application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	•	• • •	t received					
3	ee the attached detailed Office action	ii ioi a list oi tile ct	ertinea copies no	r receiveu.					
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date		6)		-				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,516

Art Unit: 1639

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, are drawn to a process for preparing a conductive surface comprising organic molecules for electrical measurements, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 25-32, are drawn to a composite surface, classified in class 436, subclass2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the device of Group II may be prepared by chemical means instead of electrografting as required in the process of Group I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02). Further, each of these inventions has acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and are recognized as having divergent subject matter. For at least these reasons, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that in order for the reply to this requirement to be complete, the reply must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.43). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to Applicant to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

### Consideration of Rejoinder

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicants elect claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations

-- - \

Application/Control Number: 10/614,516 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR § 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR § 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the Examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Correction of Inventorship

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

٠ لړ

Page 4

# Time for Reply

Applicant is reminded that 1-month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for reply when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

#### **Conclusions**

If Applicants should amendment the claims, a complete and responsive reply will clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure for each amendment. Applicants should point to the page and line numbers of the application corresponding to each amendment, and provide any statements that might help to identify support for the claimed invention (e.g., if the amendment is not supported *in ipsis verbis*, clarification on the record may be helpful). Should Applicants present new claims, Applicants should clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeff Lundgren whose telephone number is 571-272-5541. The Examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JON EPPERSON, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER

**JSL**